

## Influence of undercooling on phase-ordering kinetics in nematic liquid crystals

V. Popa-Nita\* and S. Romano†

*Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia, Dipartimento di Fisica "A. Volta," Università di Pavia, via A. Bassi 6, I-27100 Pavia, Italy*

(Received 11 September 1996; revised manuscript received 24 February 1997)

The undercooling effect on the phase-ordering dynamics of nematic liquid crystals is considered. We assume the nematic liquid crystal to have a scalar order parameter, and also suppose the system to be isothermal and initially temperature-quenched into the metastable regime of the isotropic phase. Based on planar domain wall solutions of the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation, Bray and Humayun's theory of phase-ordering dynamics is generalized to include the undercooling effect on the late stage of growth. [S1063-651X(97)05406-8]

PACS number(s): 64.70.Md, 68.10.Jy

When a system is quenched from a high-temperature disordered phase to a lower temperature where its ordered phase is thermodynamically favored, it evolves in time toward the latter (phase-ordering process). It has been well established that in the late stages of ordering a scaling régime is entered, characterized by a single time-dependent length scale  $L(t)$ , such that the domain structure is independent of time when lengths are scaled by  $L(t)$  [1]. Very recently, there have been considerable theoretical [2], experimental [3] and computational [4] efforts to understand the phase-ordering kinetics of nematic liquid crystals. So far, however, little effort has been devoted to the role of a volume driving force (external fields or undercooling), or a symmetry-breaking bias in the initial conditions [5]. The purpose of this Brief Report is to investigate the phase-ordering dynamics of a nematic liquid crystal when its isotropic liquid precursor is cooled quickly to a temperature where the nematic phase is thermodynamically stable and the isotropic one is metastable (supercooled) ( $T^* < T < T_{NI}$ , where  $T_{NI}$  is the first order nematic-isotropic transition temperature, and  $T^*$  is the supercooling limit). When  $T = T_{NI}$  (i.e., when the well depths of the bulk free energy density are equal) and for a nonconserved scalar order parameter, the motion of the interface (domain wall) is purely determined by its local curvature [6] that generates a domain scale  $L(t) \sim t^{1/2}$ . In particular, the detailed shape of the bulk free energy density is not important [7]; the main role of the double-well free energy is to establish and maintain well-defined domain walls. For  $T^* < T < T_{NI}$  (when the well depths of the bulk free energy density are not equal) the motion of the interface is determined not only by its local curvature but also by a volume driving force [8]. Our purpose is to investigate the effect of this volume driving force (in fact, the undercooling  $\Delta T = T_{NI} - T$ ) on the phase-ordering kinetics.

The order parameter for a nematic liquid crystal is a traceless symmetric second-rank tensor [9]  $Q_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)(3n_i n_j / 2 - \delta_{ij} / 2)$  where the unit vector  $\mathbf{n}$  is the nem-

atic director, and  $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the scalar order parameter. In the problem we consider, we shall suppose  $\mathbf{n}$  to be fixed in space and time, so that the relevant physics is given by  $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . Within the equal-constant approximation the appropriate Landau-de Gennes free energy functional is

$$F[Q] = \int d^d x \left[ \frac{1}{2} K \text{Tr} |\nabla Q|^2 + f_b(Q) \right], \quad (1)$$

$$f_b(Q) = a(T - T^*) \text{Tr} Q^2 - B \text{Tr} Q^3 + C (\text{Tr} Q^2)^2. \quad (2)$$

We take the dynamics to be given by the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation  $\beta \partial_t Q = -(\delta / \delta Q) F[Q]$  where the transport coefficient  $\beta$  is related to the rotational viscosity of the nematic. Scaling the variables in the following way [10]:  $\bar{\phi} = 6C\phi/B$ ,  $\tau = 24a(T - T^*)C/B^2$ , and  $\bar{f}_b = 24^2 C^3 f_b / B^4$ , and eliminating overbars, the corresponding dimensionless form of the TDGL equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \nabla^2 \phi = -f'_b(\phi) = -2\tau\phi + 6\phi^2 - 4\phi^3. \quad (3)$$

In this system of units the distances are scaled with  $\xi = (24CK/B^2)^{1/2}$ , and times with  $t^* = 16C\beta/B^2$ . The isotropic-nematic transition now takes place at  $\tau = 1$  to a nematic phase in which the order parameter  $\phi = 1$ .

In the temperature region  $0 < \tau < 1$ , the time- and space-independent solutions of Eq. (3) occur at  $\phi_1 = 0$ ,  $\phi_2 = 3(1 - \tau^*)/4$ , and  $\phi_3 = 3(1 + \tau^*)/4$ , where  $\tau^* = (1 - 8\tau/9)^{1/2}$ . The solutions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_3$  correspond, respectively, to the isotropic and nematic minima of  $f_b$ , with  $f_b(\text{nematic}) < f_b(\text{isotrop})$ ; or, equivalently, the isotropic phase is metastable, whereas the nematic phase is stable.

Considering that  $\phi$  depends on one spatial variable only (flat domain walls), and supposing that the front advances with velocity  $v$ , we look for solutions of the form  $\phi(g, t) = \phi(g - vt) = \phi(g')$ , where  $g$  is a coordinate normal to the interface. Equation (3) yields  $\phi'' + v\phi' = f'_b(\phi)$ , subject to the boundary conditions  $\phi(-\infty) = \phi_3$  and

\*Permanent address: Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest, P.O. Box MG-11, Bucharest-Magurele 76900, Romania. Electronic address: nita@scut.fizica.unibuc.ro

†Corresponding author. Electronic address: romano@pavia.infn.it

$\phi(\infty) = \phi_1$ . This ordinary differential equation has the solution  $\phi(g') = \phi_3(1 - \tanh g'/w_0)/2$ , with the characteristic thickness of the interface  $w_0 = \sqrt{2}/\phi_3$  and its velocity  $v = 3(3\tau^* - 1)/2^{3/2}$ . In the flat domain wall case the driving force for the nematic growth is the difference between isotropic and nematic minima of  $f_b$ , generated by the undercooling  $\Delta\tau = 1 - \tau$ .

In approximate treatments (“Gaussian closure” schemes) of Eq. (3) for the general case, a new field  $m(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is introduced, which varies smoothly on the domain scale, and whose zeros define the positions of the walls. Generalizing the Mazenko approximation [11] (see also [5]), the transformation  $\phi(m)$  is defined by the flat moving interface profile function which satisfies  $\phi''(m) + v\phi'(m) = f'_b(\phi)$  with boundary conditions  $\phi(-\infty) = \phi_3$  and  $\phi(\infty) = \phi_1$ . With this choice for  $\phi(m)$ , rewriting Eq. (3) in terms of  $m$ , gives

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 m - \frac{\phi''(m)}{\phi'(m)}(1 - |\nabla m|^2) - v. \quad (4)$$

The principal role of the double-well ‘potential’  $f_b(\phi)$  is to establish and maintain well-defined interfaces. It follows that the detailed form of  $f_b(\phi)$  is irrelevant to the large-scale structure. Following Bray and Humayun [7], we choose  $\phi(m)$  to satisfy  $\phi''(m) = -m\phi'(m)$ , which is equivalent to a particular form of the potential (for a discussion of this approximation, see [5]). Locating the center of the wall at  $m=0$ , i.e.,  $\phi(0) = \phi_3/2$ , we obtain the wall profile function  $\phi(m) = \phi_3 \operatorname{erfc}(m/\sqrt{2})/2$ , where  $\operatorname{erfc}$  is the complementary error function. After Fourier transformation, Eq. (4) becomes

$$\frac{\partial m_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\partial t} = [-k^2 + a(t)]m_{\mathbf{k}}(t) - v\delta_{\mathbf{k},0}, \quad (5)$$

where  $a(t) = 1 - \langle |\nabla m|^2 \rangle$ . Solving Eq. (5) for  $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$  components of  $m$ , one finds the equal-time pair correlation function in the scaling régime  $C(12) = \arcsin(\gamma_0)/2\pi$ , where 1 and 2 are usual shorthand for space-time points  $(\vec{x}_1, t)$  and  $(\vec{x}_2, t)$ , and  $\gamma_0$  is the normalized correlator  $\gamma_0 = \exp(-r^2/8t)$ . Thus, the  $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$  components of  $m$  are unchanged by the velocity or equivalently by the undercooling. In this case the well-depths of the ‘potential’  $f_b(\phi)$  are equal, the only driving force is the interface curvature which generates the well-known  $t^{1/2}$  growth law [1].

In the scaling régime, solving Eq. (5) for  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$  components of  $m$ , we obtain the expectation value of  $\phi$ ;

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \frac{\phi_3}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\langle m \rangle}{(2C_0(0,t))^{1/2}}\right), \quad (6)$$

and the relative fluctuation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\langle \phi^2 \rangle - \langle \phi \rangle^2)^{1/2}}{\langle \phi \rangle} \\ &= \left( \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{\langle m \rangle}{(2C_0(0,t))^{1/2}}\right) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \times \left( \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\langle m \rangle}{(2C_0(0,t))^{1/2}}\right) \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

where the argument of the complementary error function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle m \rangle}{(2C_0(0,t))^{1/2}} &= \frac{m_0(0)}{(2\Delta)^{1/2}} (8\pi t)^{d/4} \\ & - v \left(\frac{d}{8}\right)^{1/2} t^{d/4} \int_{t_0}^t dt' t'^{-(d+2)/4}, \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

with  $d$  the spatial dimensionality and  $t_0 \sim (\Delta d)^{2/(d+2)}$  a short-time cutoff. The bias  $m_0(0)$  in the initial Gaussian conditions gives a contribution of order  $t^{d/4}$  for any  $d$ , but the contribution from the velocity (or equivalently from the undercooling) is  $t^{1/2}$  for  $d < 2$  (when times of order  $t$  dominate the integral in Eq. (8),  $t^{1/2} \ln t/t_0$  for  $d=2$ , and  $t^{d/4}$  for  $d > 2$  (when times of order  $t_0$  dominate the integral). Thus, for large  $t$ , the velocity (or the undercooling) dominates over  $m_0(0)$  for  $d \leq 2$  (continues to have an effect at late times), whereas for  $d > 2$  both terms are of the same order (the velocity has all its effect at early times of order  $t_0$ ).

It is to be noted that the introduction of a magnetic field has the same consequence in the sense that the symmetric double-well potential also becomes asymmetric, which generates a volume driving force of the interface. For this reason our results are similar to those obtained in [5]. The two main approximations used in this paper involve the consideration of a scalar order parameter field and the decoupling of the temperature field. Nematic liquid crystals are described by a nonconserved traceless symmetric tensor field. The presence of the inversion symmetry ( $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow -\mathbf{n}$ ) means that, in addition to the monopole defects of the  $O(3)$  model, the nematic also possesses stable  $\frac{1}{2}$  string defects in which the director rotates through  $\pi$  on encircling the string. The presence of such defects generates a  $k^{-5}$  structure factor tail at large  $kL(t)$  [2]. The thermal coupling (including the effect of the latent heat emission at the interface) can have profound consequences [12]. We shall address this aspect of the problem in a future paper.

V.P.N. would like to acknowledge a visit to the Physics Department of Pavia University, which was funded by the Italian Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR); scientific hospitality and financial support are gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] A. J. Bray, *Physica A* **194**, 41 (1993); *Adv. Phys.* **43**, 357 (1994).
- [2] A. J. Bray, S. Puri, R. E. Blundell, and A. M. Somoza, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, 2261 (1993).
- [3] A. P. Y. Wong, P. Wiltzius, R. G. Larson, and B. Yurke, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, 2683 (1993).
- [4] M. Zapotocky, P. M. Goldbart, and N. Goldenfeld, *Phys. Rev. E* **51**, 1216 (1995).
- [5] J. A. N. Filipe, A. J. Bray, and S. Puri, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 6082 (1995).
- [6] S. M. Allen and J. W. Cahn, *Acta Metall.* **27**, 1085 (1979).
- [7] A. J. Bray and K. Humayun, *Phys. Rev. E* **48**, 1609 (1993).
- [8] V. Popa-Nita and T. J. Sluckin, *J. Phys. (France) II* **6**, 873 (1996).
- [9] P. G de Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993).
- [10] A. K. Sen and D. E. Sullivan, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 1391 (1987).
- [11] G. F. Mazenko, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 4487 (1990).
- [12] R. J. Braun, G. B. McFadden, and S. R. Coriell, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 4336 (1994).